```
1 Michael S. Lavenant, Esq. - State Bar No. 198765
  michael@landeggeresq.com
  LANDEGGER, BARON & LAVENANT
 3 A Law Corporation
 4 15760 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1200
  Encino, California 91436
  Telephone: (818) 986-7561
 6 Facsimile: (8181) 986-5147
8 Attorneys for Defendant R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company
 9
10
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11
12
            FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13
14
    DAWN D. RADCLIFFE,
                                    ) CASE NO.: 08 CV 0393 H POR
    individually, and on behalf of a
15
                                      ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES
    class of others similarly situated,
16
                                      TO JUDGE LOUISA A. PORTER
                    Plaintiffs,
17
                                      JOINT MOTION TO
                                      TRANSFER MATTER TO
18
    VS.
                                      OTHER DISTRICT COURT
19
    R. J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO
    COMPANY, a North Carolina
                                      CASE FILED: February 29, 2008
20
    Corporation,
21
                                      DISCOVERY CUT-OFF: NONE
                     Defendants.
                                      MOTION CUT-OFF:NONE
22
                                      TRIAL DATE: NONE
23
24
        Plaintiff Dawn D. Radcliffe, the remaining Plaintiffs who have consented
25
26 to join this action, and Defendant R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company (hereinafter
27
   "the Parties"), by and through their attorneys of record, jointly move this Court
28
                                     1
```

2

3

5

6

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

²⁴

²⁵ District of New York by former and current Retail Representatives in the State of New York, and the plaintiffs to that action were also represented by Plaintiffs' counsel in the instant case. Dinino v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, Civil Action No. 08-CV-0175 (TJM-DEP). As the Parties in the instant case now do, the parties to the New York federal court action asked that Court to transfer that case in its entirety to the Western District of Missouri. The Consent Order of Transfer was entered by that

5

10

12

14

13

16

17

18

20

21

22 23

24

26

25

28

WHEREAS, the *Marshall* case has progressed significantly further than the instant case. The parties to that action have engaged in some discovery, the case has been conditionally certified as a collective action under the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), and notice was sent to 1,178 former and current Retail Representatives (including Plaintiffs herein and all other individuals who had 8 worked in California as a Retail Representative during the relevant time period) informing them of that action and their right to join it. This case, on the other hand, has not progressed beyond the filing of the initial pleadings and no discovery has taken place.

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that the instant case and the *Marshall* case share similar legal issues, the identical defendant, and overlap in proposed classes of plaintiffs. In such a circumstance, there exists in the law a doctrine known as the first-filed rule (or the first-to-file rule) that provides for the dismissal, transfer, or stay of the second-filed case in favor of the first. "The purpose of the rule is 'to avoid the waste of duplication, to avoid rulings which may trench upon the authority of sister courts, and to avoid piecemeal resolution of issues that call for a uniform result." White v. Peco Foods, Inc., Civil Action No. 4:07cv18-KS-MTP, 2008 WL 542841, at *2 (S.D. Miss. Feb. 22, 2008) (FLSA case) (citing West Gulf Maritime Ass'n v. ILA Deep Sea Local 24, 751 F.2d 721, 729 (5th Cir. 1985)).

1 WHEREAS, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has held that "[t]he first-to-file rule was developed to 'serve[] the purpose of promoting 3 efficiency well and should not be disregarded lightly." Alltrade, Inc. v. Uniweld Products, Inc., 946 F.2d 622, 623 (9th Cir. 1991) (collecting citations). This Court has noted that "[t]he Alltrade court set forth three prerequisites for 8 application of the first to file rule: (1) chronology of the two actions; (2) similarity of the parties; and (3) similarity of the issues." Brighton Collectibles, 10 Inc. v. Coldwater Creek, Inc., No. 06 CV-1848-H (JMA), 2006 WL 4117032, at 11 *2 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 21, 2006) (citing *Alltrade*, 946 F.2d at 625). The Parties 12 13 agree that all three prerequisites exist with regard to this case and the Marshall 14 case. 15 ¹⁶NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties agree that this case should be transferred to the 17 United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri pursuant to the 18 first-filed rule, and therefore have asked the Court to do so through this joint 20 motion. The Parties will then move the Missouri federal court to consolidate this 21 case with the pending Marshall case. White, 2008 WL 542841, at *2 (S.D. Miss. 22 23 | Feb. 22, 2008) (FLSA case) (citing Sutter Corp. v. P & P Indus., Inc., 125 F.3d 24 914, 920 (5th Cir. 1987)). 25 26 27 28

1 Jointly requested by the Parties on this 24th day of June, 2008, 2 3 /s/ George A. Hanson STUEVE SIEGEL HANSON, LLP 4 George A. Hanson 5 Matthew L. Dameron Legal Counsel for Plaintiff 6 460 Nichols Parkway, Suite 200 7 Kansas City, MO 64112 Telephone: (619) 235-4040 8 Facsimile: (619) 231-8796 9 ROSS DIXON AND BELL 10 Jason S. Hartley 11 Local Counsel for Plaintiff 550 West B Street, Suite 400 12 San Diego, CA 92101-3599 13 Telephone: (816) 714-7100 Facsimile: (816) 714-7101 14 15 16 17 /s/ Michael S. Lavenant 18 LANDEGGER, BARON & LAVENANT Michael S. Lavenant, Esq. - State Bar No. 198765 19 michael@landeggeresq.com 20 Local Counsel for Defendant 15760 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1200 21 Encino, California 91436 22 Telephone: (818) 986-7561 Facsimile: (818) 986-5147 23 24 25 26 27 28 5

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CASE NAME: Dawn D. Radcliffe v. R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company Case No.: 08 CV 0393 H POR

I declare as follows:

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, California. I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is 15760 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1200, Encino, California 91436. I am readily familiar with my employer's business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing affidavit.

On June 25, 2008, I served a true and correct copy, with all exhibits, of the following documents described as:

JOINT MOTION TO TRANSFER MATTER TO OTHER DISTRICT COURT

On the party or parties named below, by personally delivering a true copy

thereof on at approximately _____ p.m. at ____ (Personal service)

\boxtimes	On the party or parties named below, by following ordinary business
	practice, placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, for
	collection and mailing with the United States Postal Service, where it
	would be deposited for first class delivery, postage fully prepaid, in the
	United States Postal Service, that same day in the ordinary course of
	business, addressed as set forth below. (Regular office deposit)

On the interested parties in the within action by placing the above documents in the United States mail for Express Mail delivery at 15760 Ventura Boulevard, Encino, California 91436 in a sealed envelope, with Express Mail postage thereon fully prepaid; by depositing copies of the above documents in a box or other facility regularly maintained by Federal Express, in an envelope or package designated by Federal Express Airbill

No. ***, with delivery fees paid by sender's account. (Code of Civil Procedure §1013(c).) (Overnight delivery service) On the interested parties in the within action by transmitting via facsimile machine to the name(s) and facsimile number(s) set forth below. (Facsimile) On the interested parties in the within action by transmitting via electronic mail by providing the document(s) to the Court's electronic filing system pursuant to their instructions on that website. (E-mail) SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 25, 2008 at Encino, California. By: /s/ Ida Mamedova Signature of Declarant By: IDA MAMEDOVA Type or Print Name of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant CV 08-0393 H (POR)		
anachine to the name(s) and facsimile number(s) set forth below. (Facsimile) On the interested parties in the within action by transmitting via electronic mail by providing the document(s) to the Court's electronic filing system pursuant to their instructions on that website. (E-mail) SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 25, 2008 at Encino, California. By: /s/ Ida Mamedova Signature of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant By: IDA MAMEDOVA Type or Print Name of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant CV 08-0393 H (POR)	1 2	
machine to the name(s) and facsimile number(s) set forth below. (Facsimile) On the interested parties in the within action by transmitting via electronic mail by providing the document(s) to the Court's electronic filing system pursuant to their instructions on that website. (E-mail) SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 25, 2008 at Encino, California. By: /s/ Ida Mamedova Signature of Declarant By: IDA MAMEDOVA Type or Print Name of Declarant	3	On the interested parties in the within action by transmitting via facsimile
(Facsimile) (Facsimile) On the interested parties in the within action by transmitting via electronic mail by providing the document(s) to the Court's electronic filing system pursuant to their instructions on that website. (E-mail) SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 25, 2008 at Encino, California. By: (S/ Ida Mamedova Signature of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant		
On the interested parties in the within action by transmitting via electronic mail by providing the document(s) to the Court's electronic filing system pursuant to their instructions on that website. (E-mail) SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 25, 2008 at Encino, California. By: /s/ Ida Mamedova Signature of Declarant By: IDA MAMEDOVA Type or Print Name of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant CV 08-0393 H (POR)	5	(Facsimile)
mail by providing the document(s) to the Court's electronic filing system pursuant to their instructions on that website. (E-mail) SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 25, 2008 at Encino, California. By: /s/ Ida Mamedova Signature of Declarant By: IDA MAMEDOVA Type or Print Name of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant CV 08-0393 H (POR)		☐ On the interested parties in the within action by transmitting via electronic
SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 25, 2008 at Encino, California. By: /s/ Ida Mamedova Signature of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant		mail by providing the document(s) to the Court's electronic filing system
I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 25, 2008 at Encino, California. By: /s/ Ida Mamedova Signature of Declarant By: IDA MAMEDOVA Type or Print Name of Declarant	8	
that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 25, 2008 at Encino, California. By: /s/ Ida Mamedova Signature of Declarant By: IDA MAMEDOVA Type or Print Name of Declarant	9	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST
June 25, 2008 at Encino, California. By: /s/ Ida Mamedova Signature of Declarant By: IDA MAMEDOVA Type or Print Name of Declarant	10	
By: /s/ Ida Mamedova Signature of Declarant By: IDA MAMEDOVA Type or Print Name of Declarant	11	
By: <u>/s/ Ida Mamedova</u> Signature of Declarant By: <u>IDA MAMEDOVA</u> Type or Print Name of Declarant Print Name of Declarant By: <u>IDA MAMEDOVA</u> Type or Print Name of Declarant CV 08-0393 H (POR)	12	June 23, 2006 at Elicino, Camorina.
14 Signature of Declarant Type or Print Name of Declarant 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 7 CV 08-0393 H (POR)	13	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 7 CV 08-0393 H (POR)	14	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	15	71 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 7 CV 08-0393 H (POR)	16	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 7 CV 08-0393 H (POR)	17	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	18	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	19	
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	20	
23 24 25 26 27 28 7 CV 08-0393 H (POR)	21	
24 25 26 27 28 7 CV 08-0393 H (POR)	22	
25 26 27 28 7 CV 08-0393 H (POR)	23	
26 27 28 7 CV 08-0393 H (POR)	24	
26 27 28 7 CV 08-0393 H (POR)	25	
27 28 7 CV 08-0393 H (POR)		
28 7 CV 08-0393 H (POR)		
7 CV 08-0393 H (POR)		
		7

SERVICE LIST CASE NAME: Dawn D. Radcliffe v. R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company Case No.: 08 CV 0393 H POR George A. Hanson Matthew L. Dameron Stueve Siegel Hanson, LLP 460 Nichols Parkway Suite 200 Kansas City, MO 64112 Jason S. Hartley Ross Dixon and Bell 550 West B Street Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92101-3599